Natural Community Identification Training









Regional Conservation Planning Program Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences **www.manomet.org**

Support provided by







Before we begin...

Please make sure that you have the following:

- Clipboard and pencil (return)
- Participant survey form* (off-white)
- Workshop evaluation form** (yellow)
- Guide to the natural communities...
- Workshop CD
- > Natural community ID form
- Copy of presentation

** Please fill out and turn in at end of workshop.

^{*} Please fill out and turn in at beginning of workshop.





When you leave here today you will:

- Know what natural communities are and why they matter
- Be familiar with Massachusetts' natural community classification system
- Be able to identify natural communities in the field





Today's Presentation

Three Sections:

- **1. Introduction to Natural Communities**
- 2. How to Identify Natural Communities
- **3. Examples (indoors and in the field)**

Section 1 Introduction to Natural Communities













Section 1 Introduction to Natural Communities

> What is a Natural Community?
> How Big is a Natural Community?
> Why Identify Natural Communities?
> Natural Community Classification

What is a Natural Community?





Multiple natural communities, Sandy Neck, Barnstable







What is a Natural Community?

Natural communities are recurring assemblages of plants and animals found in particular physical environments

They are named on the basis of dominant <u>or</u> characteristic vegetation

From: Sperduto, D. D., and W. F. Nichols. 2004. Natural communities of New Hampshire. Included in workshop CD.





1. definite plant species composition



- 1. definite plant species composition
- 2. consistent physical structure (e.g., forest, shrubland, grassland)



- 1. definite plant species composition
- 2. consistent physical structure (e.g., forest, shrubland, grassland)
- 3. specific physical conditions (e.g., nutrients, water availability, climate)





What is a Natural Community? (continued)

Natural community descriptions are based on <u>exemplary</u> (i.e., idealized) conditions

Many actual communities differ from idealized communities



Differences between exemplary and real natural communities may be due to:



Differences between exemplary and real natural communities may be due to:

Location – associated species may vary from north to south, coastal to inland, etc.



Differences between exemplary and real natural communities may be due to:

 Location – associated species may vary from north to south, coastal to inland, etc.
 Time – at a given location, communities may change over time



Differences between exemplary and real natural communities may be due to:

Location – associated species may vary from north to south, coastal to inland, etc.

- *Time* at a given location, communities may change over time
- *History* historic land use practices, including agriculture



"No two examples of a community are identical in composition or environment, however, they are similar within a given range of variability."

Edinger et al. 2002. Ecological communities of New York State, second edition.



Natural vs. Ecological Communities

Natural Communities exclude areas created and/or maintained by human activities

Ecological Communities include areas created and/or maintained by human activities







Woodland Vernal Pool





The answer depends on:





The answer depends on:

Community Type – some are intrinsically small (e.g., forest seep, woodland vernal pool) or large





The answer depends on:

Community Type – some are intrinsically small (e.g., forest seep, woodland vernal pool) or large

History –

past land use has greatly altered
the size and distribution of
natural community types.

Why Identify Natural Communities?





MASSACHUSETTS ENVIRONMENTAL TRUST

Salt Marsh - Swansea





Why Identify Natural Communities?

- Communication
- Distribution
- Conservation
- Stewardship
- Planning





Communication

Ecologists, land managers, and others may communicate effectively and reach sound management decisions regarding ecological systems if they are using common terminology

From: Sperduto, D. D., and K. F. Crowley. 2001. Overview of the natural communities in New Hampshire





Distribution

Information on natural communities helps identify the likely distribution of plants and animals, both rare and common





Conservation

Biodiversity protection requires the protection of "...viable natural communities, especially functional assemblages of communities, that retain their full complement of native plants and animals."

From : Barbour, H., T. Simmons, P. Swain, and H. Woolsey. 1999. Our irreplaceable heritage: protecting biodiversity in Massachusetts





Stewardship

Information on the type and condition of a natural community helps us identify if stewardship/management is needed







Open Space and Recreation Plans <u>must</u> include:

4.D.1. "General inventory – mention important plants and <u>*plant communities*</u> that **characterize the area;"**

From: Division of Conservation Services. 2001. Open Space and Recreation Plan requirements

Natural Community Classification





Deep Emergent Marsh (in part) Burrage Pond WMA – Hanson and Halifax



Natural Community Classification





Deep Emergent Marsh (in part) Burrage Pond WMA – Hanson and Halifax







Natural Community Classification

An official list of the natural communities of Massachusetts has been developed by the Massachusetts Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NH&ESP)

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text>

Included in your workshop CD







There are currently 105 recognized natural communities in Massachusetts

Well-studied communities (e.g., floodplain forests, acidic peatlands, Atlantic white cedar swamps) are more finely divided than poorly studied communities







The 105 natural communities are grouped into three major sections, referred to as "Systems":

- 1. Terrestrial
- 2. Palustrine
- 3. Estuarine

Aquatic communities are <u>not</u> addressed in this classification





Terrestrial System

Vegetation not significantly influenced by standing water

Further classified on the basis of structural dominance (e.g., shrub vs. tree)



Black Oak-Scarlet Oak Forest/Woodland Freetown Fall River State Forest







Acidic Shrub Fen

Palustrine System

All freshwater, non-tidal wetlands

Further classified on the basis of structural dominance (e.g., herbaceous vs. tree)

Does <u>not include</u> submersed or floating-leaved aquatics, or tidal wetlands





Estuarine System

Subject to varying salinity, tidal actions, and wind

Further classified on the basis of structural dominance (e.g., mud flat vs. trees)

Extend from sub-tidal flats landward and upstream to where salt <u>or</u> tides influence vegetation



Estuarine Intertidal Flats (low tide)







No key or field identification guide is included in Swain and Kearsley

Organized into sections (i.e., Systems) by water (absent, fresh, salt), then by structural dominance

Users of classification are then advised to use the table of contents as a non-dichotomous key





For each natural community, Swain and Kearsley provide the following information:

Name Rarity (SRANK) Distribution Map Environmental Setting Habitat Value Associated Rare Animals Threats Inventory Needs Authorship CodeTrackedConceptVegetation DescriptionAssociated Rare PlantsExamples with Public AccessManagement NeedsSynonyms





Any Questions?

